UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/526,694	03/03/2005	Hugo Camenzind	LA/1-22745/A/PCT	5272	
	324 7590 03/14/2008 JoAnn Villamizar			EXAMINER	
Ciba Corporation/Patent Department 540 White Plains Road P.O. Box 2005 Tarrytown, NY 10591			GOLOBOY, JAMES C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/14/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/526,694	CAMENZIND ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	James Goloboy	1797		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acceptable.	r election requirement. r.	≣xaminer.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/27/05.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/526,694 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox (U.S. Pat. No. 4,882,077) in view of Amende (DE 2,054,649).

In column 2 lines 7-27, Cox discloses metalworking fluids comprising a paraffin oil and an emulsifier, which can be diluted to form an oil-in-water emulsion. In column 3 lines 24-27 Cox discloses that the composition is first made in concentrate form without water, as recited in claim 7. The paraffin oil meets the limitations of the base oils of claims 1-6 and 8. In column 4 lines 20-25 Cox discloses that any oil-in-water emulsifier can be used in the composition, but does not specifically disclose the compounds of claims 1-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/526,694 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

Amende, on pages 9-12, discloses the preparation of a compound by the reaction of an acrylic acid ester and alkylamines such as laurylamine, stearylamine, and oleyl amine, followed by further reaction with maleic anhydride. On page 6 Amende discloses that succinic anhydride can be used in place of maleic anhydride. When succinic anhydride is used, the reaction of Amende is the same as those discloses in the examples in the specification of the current application, and therefore the product has a structure meeting the limitations of claims 1-6 and 8. On page 1, Amende discloses that the compound is useful as an emulsifier, and can be an ammonium salt. The use of the compound of Amende as the emulsifier in the metalworking fluid composition of Cox meets the limitations of claims 1-6 and 8.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the compound of Amende in the metalworking fluid of Cox, as Amende teaches that the compound acts as an emulsifier.

4. Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubo (U.S. Pat. No. 5,362,375) in view of Amende.

In column 1 lines 5-12, Kubo discloses an oil composition substantially free from thermal deterioration and carbonaceous deposition at high temperatures. In column 2 lines 21-61, Kubo discloses that the composition comprises a base oil, meeting the limitations of component (b) of claims 1-5, and in column 5 lines 11-21 discloses that the compositions can be used as engine oils or gear oils, as recited in claim 6. In

column 4 line 66, Kubo discloses that the composition can further comprise an emulsifier, but does not disclose specific suitable emulsifiers.

The discussion of Amende in paragraph 3 above is incorporated here by reference. The use of the compound of Amende in the composition of Kubo meets the limitations of claims 1-6 and 8, and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as Amende teaches that the compound acts as an emulsifier.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Goloboy whose telephone number is (571)272-2476. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/526,694 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**JCG** 

/Glenn A Caldarola/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 1797